



Student Evaluation of Instruction Report

Response rate: 22.2 % of 45 enrolled

Were student ratings for this report collected on the web? Yes

Date of Report: 03/22/2015

Response scale is Likert-type with "5" being high and "1" being low

| | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | N/A |
|----------------------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|
| 1. Well organized | 10 | 0 % | 10 % | 10 % | 30 % | 50 % | 0 % |
| 2. Intellectually stimulating | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 50 | 40 | 0 |
| 3. Instructor interested in teaching | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 0 |
| 4. Encouraged independent thinking | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 40 | 40 | 0 |
| 5. Instructor well prepared | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 0 |
| 6. Instructor interested in helping students | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 40 | 50 | 0 |
| 7. Learned greatly from instructor | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 40 | 30 | 0 |
| 8. Created learning atmosphere | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 40 | 50 | 0 |
| 9. Communicated subject matter clearly | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 40 | 40 | 0 |
| 10. Overall rating | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 0 |

Your ratings are summarized below. When sufficient data exist, summaries are also provided for up to three reference groups. Your "comparison group" is based on the size of your class and the predominant reason students indicate they enrolled. Comparison group data are reported at both the college and university levels. Over the preceding 4 quarters, 212 instructors and 262 course sections were in your Comparison Group by College, and 3683 instructors and 9669 course sections were in your Comparison Group by University. Across all the courses using the SEI instrument since 1994, 42.78% of them share the characteristics listed below. The Course-Offering Unit listing is not based on size or electivity; it is a summary of the SEI data across the previous four quarters in your department or school.

Your comparison groups have the following qualities:

Class size: 20 to 60

Predominant reason given for enrolling in this course was that it was required in the student's major/minor or that it fulfills a GEC/BER requirement.

| | This Instructor | | Comparison Group by College | | Comparison Group by University | | Course-Offering Unit | |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| | Mean | Std.Dev | Mean | Std.Dev | Mean | Std.Dev | Mean | Std.Dev |
| 1. Instructor well organized | 4.2 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 0.5 |
| 2. Intellectually stimulating | 4.2 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 0.6 |
| 3. Instructor interested in teaching | 4.2 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 0.5 |
| 4. Encouraged independent thinking | 4.1 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 0.6 |
| 5. Instructor well prepared | 4.2 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.6 |
| 6. Instructor interested in helping students | 4.4 | 0.7 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 0.5 |
| 7. Learned greatly from instructor | 4.0 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 0.7 |
| 8. Created learning atmosphere | 4.4 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 0.6 |
| 9. Communicated subject matter clearly | 4.0 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 0.7 |
| 10. Overall rating | 4.2 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 0.7 |

Comparison Group by University Distribution of Mean Scores on Overall Rating (Item 10)

